The effects of high-dose radiation therapy on bone: a scoping review
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Purpose: This scoping review presents the preclinical and clinical data on the effects of high-dose radiation therapy (RT) on bone structure and function.

Materials and Methods: An extensive PubMed search was performed for the relevant questions. The data were then synthesized into a comprehensive summary of the available relevant in-vitro, preclinical and clinical literature.

Results: In-vitro studies of high-dose RT on cell cultures show considerable damage in the viability and functional capacity of the primary cells of the bones; the osteoclasts, the osteoblasts, and the osteocytes. In-vivo animal models show that high-dose RT induces significant morphological changes to the bone, inhibits the ability of bone to repair damage, and increases the fragility of the bone. Clinical data show that there is an increasing risk over time of damage to the bone, such as fractures, after high-dose RT.

Conclusion: These findings suggest that there may be a limit to the safe dose for single-fraction RT, and the long-term consequences of high-dose RT for the patients must be considered.
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Introduction

Bones are complex, living tissues. Mature bones maintain their homeostasis, repair damage, and respond to new stresses under the control of both local and systemic processes. All of these processes can be affected by therapeutic doses of ionizing radiation.

Fig. 1 shows the anatomy of a long bone. The following brief description of the anatomy of bone is based upon the detailed presentation in the OpenStax textbook Anatomy and Physiology [1].

The outermost layer of the bone is the periosteum, which is a dense layer of vascular connective tissue. Compact bone is the dense bone beneath the periosteum (also called cortical bone). The Haversian system is a structure within the compact bone wherein the bone is organized into concentric layers, or lamellae, around central canals which contain blood vessels and nerve fibers. These central canals supply nutrients and functional signals to the primary bone cells, the osteocytes. Volkmann’s canals are small channels in the bone that transmit blood vessels from the periosteum into the bone and communicate with the Haversian canals. And cancellous bone is the network of trabeculae inside of cortical bone that often contains bone marrow.

Fig. 2 highlights the major cells of bones. There are three primary cells of bones (see the Wikipedia Bone entry [2] or OpenStax textbook [1] for more detail). Osteoblasts are found on the surface of bones. The primary role of osteoblasts is to lay down new bone during growth and remodeling. They secrete collagen and other proteins to form the organic matrix of the bone, the osteoid. Once the osteoid is laid down, osteoblasts initiate the mineralization process. Some osteoblasts are entrapped in the osteoid and mature into osteocytes. Others become flat, inactive cells on the bone surface.
known as bone lining cells, and others die by apoptosis. Osteoblasts are derived from mesenchymal stem cells. Osteoclasts are large, multinucleated cells originating from the fusion of precursor cells of monocyte/macrophage lineage. The primary function of osteoclasts is bone resorption. They secrete hydrogen ions to dissolve the bone's mineral content and enzymes to break down the organic matrix. Osteocytes are the most abundant cell type in bone, comprising over 90% of all bone cells. They are mature osteoblast cells entrapped in

Fig. 1. The structure of compact bone (source from OpenStax [1], https://openstax.org/books/anatomy-and-physiology-2e/pages/6-3-bone-structure).

Fig. 2. The cells of the bones (source from OpenStax [1], https://openstax.org/books/anatomy-and-physiology-2e/pages/6-3-bone-structure).
the mineralized matrix of the bone. Osteocytes have a stellate shape with numerous long, thin processes extending out from the through the canaliculari. They participate in the regulation of bone remodeling by controlling the activities of osteoblasts and osteoclasts. They also play a role in phosphorus and calcium homeostasis.

When a bone fractures, the body initiates a repair process [3]. Blood vessels within and around the fracture site rupture, leading to the formation of a hematoma. The hematoma forms a clot that stabilizes the fracture site, provides a temporary framework for healing, and initiates an inflammatory response. The hematoma-triggered inflammatory response induces the influx of immune cells, including neutrophils and macrophages, to the fracture site. Neutrophils remove debris and bacteria, while macrophages phagocytose dead tissue and release growth factors that promote healing. The inflammatory phase typically lasts for a few days. Then, new blood vessels begin to grow into the fracture site, forming granulation tissue. Undifferentiated mesenchymal cells migrate to the fracture site. The mesenchymal cells differentiate into chondroblasts, which produce a soft cartilaginous callus, and osteoblasts, which generate a bone callus. The cartilaginous callus provides temporary stability and helps bridge the fracture gap, while the bone callus provides structural support. The callus formation phase typically lasts for several weeks. As the fracture site becomes more stable, the bone callus undergoes mineralization. Osteoblasts deposit calcium and phosphate minerals, transforming the woven bone into a stronger, more rigid lamellar bone. This initial bone remodeling continues for several weeks to months. Excess bone tissue is removed by osteoclasts and new bone tissue is deposited by osteoblasts. Further remodeling can take months to years, depending on the severity and location of the fracture. Over time, the remodeling returns the bone to its original shape and strength.

Because many patients with cancer receive radiation therapy (RT) that involves the bones, it is important to understand the potential effects of RT on the function and structure of bones. This will aid in minimizing the long-term toxicity of the bones. The objective of this scoping review is to provide a concise synopsis of the effects of RT on bones and the potential effects of RT. This review surveys the relevant pre-clinical and clinical knowledge available on the effects of high-dose radiation therapy on bones. There is a vast literature on both the pre-clinical and the clinical effects, and this review is a presentation of exemplary and significant studies. This review summarizes these articles and synthesizes their potential ramifications for modern RT treatments.

Methods and Materials

The recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for the design and presentation of scoping reviews were followed to prepare this report [4]. Multiple PubMed searches were done to identify references for the specific areas being reviewed. The PubMed terms included radiotherapy (as a Medical Subject Headings term) with terms including “AND osteocytes,” “AND osteoblasts,” and “AND osteoclasts.” Other searches included but were not limited to: radiotherapy AND “bone healing,” radiotherapy AND “bone strength,” radiosurgery AND fracture, and radiotherapy AND “sacral fractures.” The identified references’ abstracts were reviewed, and the relevant articles were obtained. The references of the articles were then reviewed for further relevant references.

Results

1. Search results

Using the above search methods, 13 papers were selected on the in-vitro effects of high-dose RT on osteoblasts, three papers on the effects on osteoclasts, and two papers on the effects on osteocytes. Another 11 papers were obtained relevant to preclinical animal studies of the effect of RT on bone healing, 13 papers on bone integration, 23 papers on bone morphology and 10 papers on bone strength. For clinical results, systemic reviews or meta-analyses were preferentially selected. Clinical papers obtained on the effects of high-dose radiotherapy on bone included nine papers on dental implants, 16 papers on osteoradionecrosis (ORN), four papers on osteoporosis, 13 papers on sacral insufficiency fractures, 15 papers on compression fractures after stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT), and 13 papers on rib fractures after SBRT. These papers were then abstracted and included in the synthesis of this paper.

2. Effect of radiation treatment on in-vitro bone cells

1) Osteoclasts

In-vitro studies show that therapeutic radiation doses of x-rays inhibit the function and survival of osteoclasts. For example, Zhang et al. [5] studied the effect of single fraction radiation doses of 1 Gy, 2 Gy, 4 Gy, 6 Gy and 8 Gy on the pre-osteoclast cell line RAW264.7. There was decreased cell viability and decreased activity at doses of ≥ 4 Gy. It is possible that lower doses of RT can stimulate osteoclasts in-vitro. Tong et al. [6] studied the effects of 0.25, 1, and 2 Gy on the same cell line and found that the volume and number of the osteoclasts increased with increasing dose.

2) Osteoblasts

Multiple studies show that higher doses of RT decrease osteoblast function and viability [7–11]. For example, Matsumura et al. [7] exposed the osteoblast-like cell line, MC3T3-E1, to doses of 1, 5, and...
10 Gy in single fractions. They found that cells irradiated with at least 5 Gy inhibited cell proliferation and induced cell death, as well as inducing osteoblast differentiation to osteocytes. Gal et al. [8] using this MC3T3-E1 cell line, showed that irradiation with 2, 4, or 6 Gy decreased collagen production at doses of 4 and 6 Gy. Szymczyk et al. [9] showed that the decrease in cell proliferation was due to cell death induction by the radiation caused by G2 cell cycle arrest and the resulting enhanced sensitivity to apoptotic factors. Amler et al. [11] isolated human alveolar osteoblasts and expanded them into cell lines. These cell lines were irradiated with single fractions of 2, 6, or 10 Gy. The cells given 2 Gy recovered and functioned normally. The cells given 6 or 10 Gy showed permanent impairment of proliferation and mineralization.

3) Osteocytes
Osteocytes are also affected by therapeutic doses of RT. He et al. [12] irradiated the osteocyte-like cell MLO-Y4 with doses of 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 Gy in single fractions. They noted that the irradiated osteocytes showed morphological changes, including shortened dendrites, inhibited cell viability, and induced apoptosis. These effects increased with the given dose. Wang et al. [13] cultured osteocytes derived from the femurs of BALB/c mice and irradiated the cultures with 2, 4, 6, or 8 Gy single doses. They also showed reduced osteocyte viability with increasing dose, along with changes in dendrite morphology, and induced osteocyte senescence.

3. Pre-clinical animal studies on the effects of radiation on bones
1) Bone morphology
RT doses cause damage to the structural integrity of bones. Bakar et al. [14] performed a meta-analysis of eight studies on mice, rats and rabbits between 2015 and 2020 looking at the morphologic changes of bone, such as loss of bone density, after radiation. They concluded that the available studies showed damage from the RT that increased with dose and time from irradiation, and there was a bystander effect on the unirradiated bones. For example, Oest et al. [15] irradiated individual hind legs of mice and monitored the effects on the treated and contralateral untreated femurs. They found a complex reaction to irradiation of 20 Gy in 5 fractions. Over 12 to 26 weeks the irradiated femurs showed trabecular resorption, loss of diaphyseal cortical bone, and decreased bending strength. The contralateral untreated femurs generally followed an intermediate absorption response compared with the treated femurs and placebo-treated femurs, thereby showing a remote bystander effect. Limirio et al. [16] studied the effect of 30 Gy in one fraction to the left hind leg of rats and compared the responses in the treated femurs to the non-irradiated right femur. The measured bone density by CT of both the irradiated femurs (440 Hounsfield unit [HU]) and non-irradiated femurs (480 HU) at 30 days were significantly less dense than the 60-day non-irradiated mouse femur (1,090 HU). The irradiated femur at 60 days was also significantly less dense (880 HU) than the non-irradiated femur.

2) Bone strength
Therapeutic doses of radiation also decrease the strength of bones. Bakar et al’s meta-analysis [14] also looked at the effect of RT on bone strength. They stated that the mechanical strength was significantly reduced by higher dose irradiation both shortly after and long after the irradiation. The alteration in morphology and strength were related to the quantities and the activities of the osteoblast and osteoclast cells. For example, Perdomo-Dantoja et al. [17] studies the effects of 24 Gy given as a single dose or given as three fractions of 8 Gy on the L5 vertebral body of rabbits. The vertebral bodies given one fraction had lower fracture load strengths and lower stiffness compared to the fractionated bones and unirradiated bones. For all the bones, the bone volume, trabecular number and trabecular spacing correlated with fracture loads and stiffness. In a study by Emerzian [18] the lumbar spines of rats were treated with 30 Gy given as daily 3 Gy fractions for a total of 10 fractions over 2 weeks. The vertebral bodies were harvested and tested 12 weeks after irradiation. She found that the vertebral body strength was 27% lower in the irradiated rats (p < 0.0001). The strength correlated with bone mass and volume.

3) Bone healing
RT doses also impede bone healing [19-21]. Among the first reports of the detrimental effect of radiotherapy on bones was Regen et al. [22], who reported in 1936 that 1,100 roentgens of X-rays given prior to a surgical fracture in adult rabbit bones resulted in marked delay of union. Among modern studies on the effects of RT, Arnold et al. [23,24] irradiated rat femurs with single doses of 10 to 22 Gy and then the femurs were surgically fractured 24 hours later. A second set of rats had the radiation 1 week, 10 weeks or 6 months before the surgery, and were treated with single doses of 11 to 20 Gy. Control animals had sham irradiation and then surgery 24 hours later. Formation of new trabecular bone was seen in the sham-irradiated rat femurs at 4 days after surgery and the fracture was almost completely covered with a spongy callus 4 to 5 days later, which then matured to normal bone. At 3 weeks about 50% of the bone was closed, and at 6 weeks 80% was closed. The rat femurs with fractures one day after irradiation showed no adverse effects up to doses of 13 Gy, with possible stimulation of healing at lower doses. Above 13 Gy, the healing was significantly slower in a dose-dependent response. At 7 weeks after 19 Gy less than 30% of
the defect was closed. At 30 weeks after 22 Gy less than 20% of the defect was closed. Further, no osteocytes could be detected in the bone. Increasing the interval between the radiation and the fracture to 6 months ameliorated some of the effect, but there was no improvement with this delay of healing for doses > 15 Gy. The rat femurs treated with 20 Gy and a 6-month delay of fracture surgery still had almost no healing.

4) Integration effects
Another example of RT effects is inhibition of a metal implant integration into bone. Jacobsson et al. [25] reported on the dose response of bone integration into a titanium implant in rabbit tibias after single doses of 5, 8, 11, 15, and 25 Gy. At 5 and 8 Gy, bone regeneration was reduced by about 20%. At 11 Gy and above, the bone integration was reduced by about 70%. Dogan et al. [26] implanted four titanium implants into each left tibia of 18 rabbits, and 4 weeks later irradiated the tibias of 12 rabbits with either a single fraction of 15 or 30 Gy. Four weeks after the irradiation the tibias were harvested. The non-irradiated control group showed significantly higher removal torque value than the 15 and 30 Gy irradiation groups. The 15 Gy irradiation group had higher removal torque value than the 30 Gy irradiation group. This was stated to be due to a reduction in the quality of the bone and the bone-to-implant contact area.

4. Clinical effects of RT on bones
1) ORN of the mandible
Lajolo et al. [27] published a systematic review of ORN after teeth extractions during and after RT, surveying from 1978 to 2021. Among the 462 patients included in the review, 41 developed ORN after extraction. Only three cases were in the maxilla, all in the same 1987 study. All cases of ORN were in papers published in 1987 or earlier. Cespedes-Ajun et al. [28] published a systematic review in 2022 of the incidence of mandibular ORN after intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) versus three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT), assuming the IMRT patients would have less dose to the mandible. There was a uniform reduction in the incidence of ORN among the patients treated with IMRT, with ORN rates using 3D-CRT of 5% to 19%, (one paper reported an 87% rate) compared to 0% to 13% with IMRT. In a review by Topkan et al. [29], there was no correlation of radiation dose with ORN of the mandible. A model by van Dijk et al. [30] found that among patient not getting a tooth extraction, keeping the volume of the mandible receiving more than 42 Gy in 2 Gy fractions to less than 30% keeps the risk of ORN to 5% and keeping the 30% mandible volume below 25 Gy lowers this risk to 1%.

2) Dental implants
Kende et al. [31] published a systematic review and meta-analysis of papers from 1947 to 2020 on the survival of dental implants in irradiated jaws. They concluded that there was no detrimental effect of irradiation on the success of implantation. Camolesi et al. [32], focusing on reports with 5 year data, found 93% versus 98% implant survival in irradiated and non-irradiated patients, respectively. Zarzar et al. [33] published in 2023 an umbrella review of meta-analyses on the effects of RT on the success of dental implants. They concluded that implantation in irradiated bones had an 86% success rate versus a 95% success rate in normal bone. Among those studies which separated mandibular implants from maxillary implants, there was a higher failure rate in the irradiated maxillary implants, but not the mandibular implants. However, they only found one high-quality review.

3) Rib fractures after SBRT
Ma et al. [34] published a pooled analysis of 57 studies incorporating 5,985 cases for chest wall toxicity after SBRT. They found a correlation for rib fractures with sex (female > male), tumor to chest-wall distance, body mass index, the maximum dose to the ribs, and the volume of the 30 Gy dose in the rib (V_{30}). They reported an overall rib fracture rate of 6.3% with a median time to rib fracture of 9 months. Most studies did not have long follow up. Voruganti et al. [35] reported a systematic review of chest wall pain and rib fractures after lung SBRT. They found estimated incidences of chest wall pain and rib fracture of 9% and 5.3%, respectively. The incidence of both correlated with the V_{30} dose to the chest wall.

4) Sacral insufficiency fractures
Razavian et al. [36] published a systemic review and meta-analysis of radiation-induced pelvic insufficiency fractures, covering 1980 to 2020. A total of 6,488 patients in 37 studies were included, and they found a crude incidence of fractures of 9.4%. In papers with long-term follow-up, the 5-year actuarial incidence was 15.3%. Correlating factors were pre-treatment osteoporosis, diabetes, and post-menopausal state. The most common site of fracture was the sacrum. Sapienza et al. [37], published another meta-analysis on 3,929 patients in 21 studies, focusing on pelvic insufficiency fractures after radiotherapy for gynecologic cancers. Fourteen percent of the patients developed fractures, the most common sites were the sacroiliac joint (34%), sacrum (34%), pubis (13%), and lumbar vertebra (7%). Salcedo et al. [38] reported a prospective trial of 239 women who received pelvic radiotherapy for gynecological cancer from 2008 to 2015. The 1-, 3- and 5-year pelvic fracture rates were 3.6%,
Fractures were associated with baseline osteoporosis, higher baseline bone-specific alkaline phosphatase and age.

5) Vertebral bodies
Faruki et al. [39] published a systematic review on post-operative spine SBRT. They found that the crude vertebral body compression rate was 5.6%. Abbouchie et al. [40] published a systemic review of vertebral body compression after vertebral body SBRT. They found rates of vertebral body compression of 4% to 39%. Risk factors included lytic disease, degree of pre-existing compression, spinal malalignment, increased dose per fraction, and a Spinal Instability Neoplastic Score of > 6. Yaprk et al. [41] reported on radiation-induced vertebral body changes after irradiation for gastric cancer. They found a statistically significant decline in bone mineral density in the both the uniradiated and the irradiated vertebral bodies, with a greater loss in the irradiated bones. Kito et al. [42] studied if re-ossification after palliative RT prevented vertebral body fractures. They reviewed the imaging on 111 vertebral body metastases in 54 patients, and most patients had metastatic lung cancer. Re-ossification was seen in 62% of vertebral bodies, with ossification usually seen by 2 months. Vertebral body height reduction was correlated with lack of re-ossification and compression prior to treatment. Re-ossification was associated with the type of cancer (lung cancer patients had a lower rate) and total dose to the vertebral body (for lung cancer, a dose of 20 Gy or less was associated with re-ossification).

6) Other sites
Nguyen et al. [43] reported on pathological fractures after SBRT in 505 non-spine bone metastases in 373 patients. The rates of pathological fracture at 6, 12, and 24 months were 3.8%, 6.1%, and 10.9%. Lytic lesions and ribs were more likely to develop fractures. In contrast, in the phase III trial of SBRT for non-spine metastases reported by Nguyen et al. [44], the rate of pathological fracture was 1.2%. However, the primary endpoint, including pathological fracture, was at 3 months and the median follow-up time was not reported.

Discussion and Conclusion
These preclinical and clinical studies show that RT can be detrimental to the health of bones, and that the risk of damage increases with the dose given to the bone. The RT leads to dysfunction and death of the osteocytes and inhibits bone repair by damaging the osteoclasts and osteoblasts. The detrimental clinical effects have long been known. For example, head and neck irradiation can lead to ORN of the mandible and pelvic irradiation can cause pelvic insufficiency fractures. Modern RT techniques in head and neck irradiation and pelvic irradiation are designed to minimize the risk to bones by keeping the dose to the bones as low as possible. However, as RT doses are increasing, especially with the use of SBRT, the incidental dose to bones is increasing. Further, progressively higher single fraction doses are being used for metastases. The doses being clinically used are shown in preclinical studies to cause functional damage or death of the bone. This can lead to an increased risk of fractures. This is reflected by the clinical data. Vargas et al. [45] compared vertebral body fracture rates for SBRT to standard palliative doses. The 5-year fracture rate of SBRT (biological effective dose [BED], 144 Gy) and standard dose (BED, 80) treatments were 22% and 6.7%. In the study by Nguyen et al. [43] on the fracture rate among non-vertebral body bones treated with SBRT, the rate of fractures at 6, 12, and 24 months were 3.8%, 6.1%, and 10.9%. This study highlights that the damage may be permanent and that there is an increasing risk of fracture over time from treatment. Therefore, short follow-up is insufficient. This is particularly important because there is increased use of SBRT of bone metastases for patients with expected long survival, such as patients with oligometastatic bone-only metastases from breast and prostate cancer [46]. The primary focus in most studies of oligometastatic bone metastases treatment is on survival or short-term decrease in disease progression. However, the long-term risks to bone health have not been extensively reported. There may be a significant risk, based upon these preclinical and clinical studies, of damage to the bones at the high doses being given for SBRT. Alternative approaches, such as fractionated SBRT, may be a safer treatment. Also, minimizing the volume of uninvolved bone being treated, particularly not treating the whole vertebral body, may decrease the risk of fracture.

Osteopenia and osteoporosis increase the risk to bones from RT and are significant problems in the cancer patient population. For example, among men with prostate cancer and no history of androgen deprivation therapy, studies find rates of osteoporosis of 4% to 38%, with more advanced disease having a higher risk of osteoporosis [47]. Men with a history of prostate cancer and androgen deprivation have higher rates of osteoporosis, ranging in various studies from 9% to 53% [48]. Women on aromatase inhibitors for breast cancer are also at increased risk for osteopenia/osteoporosis, with an attendant increased risk of fractures, primarily in the spine [49]. SBRT in these patients may have an increased risk of causing bone fractures from RT. Both preclinical animal studies and clinical studies show that osteoporosis is also a risk factor for developing sacral insufficiency fractures and vertebral body fractures after radiotherapy. It is unknown whether treating the decreased bone mass with bisphosphonates or RANK-L inhibitors could reduce the
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In conclusion, this paper is a representative selection of the available data on the effects of RT on bones. It is not meant to be a definitive summary. It is designed to give an overview of the biology of therapeutic RT on bone, and the possible implications on patients, particularly potential long-term damage. High dose RT has been definitively shown in pre-clinical models to damage or destroy the functional capacity of the cells in the bone. These effects decrease the ability of the bone to heal, decrease the ability of the bone to integrate implants, and decrease the strength of the bone over time. Clinical data, including data on radiation-induced sacral insufficiency fractures after pelvic radiotherapy, vertebral body fractures after SBRT, rib fractures after SBRT, and mandibular ORN, confirm that effects are clinically relevant. With increasing emphasis on higher and higher doses to treat cancers, the doses to the bone may go beyond the therapeutic ratio of the treatment and start to decrease the positive effect of improved local control.
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